

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY I	OCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/921,961	09/921,961 08/02/2001			Yashwanth Kumar Rajaram	OR01-02701		7661	
22835	7590 03/29/2004				EXAMINER			
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP						WINTER, JOHN M		
508 SECOND STREET SUITE 201					ART UNIT	JNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAVIS, CA	DAVIS, CA 95616					3621		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
_	09/921,961	RAJARAM, YASHWANTH KUMAR	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner		
C.)	John M Winter	3621	
The MAILING DATE f this communication Peri d for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty e eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	02 August 2001.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,9 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-8,10-16, and 18-24 is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance prection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date Dirmal Patent Application (PTO-152) -	

Application/Control Number: 09/921,961

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-24 have been examined

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al., (US Patent No 5,590,197) in view of Franklin et al (US Patent No 6,000,832).

As per claim 1,

Chen et al. ('197) discloses a method that facilitates secure electronic commerce, comprising:

providing a consumer with a file of security data relating to an account maintained by a financial institution; (Column 6, lines 12-18)

creating a financial transaction between the consumer and a merchant, wherein the financial transaction is protected using security data from the file, and wherein the financial transaction is structured to contain an account number in a form that is undecipherable by the merchant, thereby prevent the merchant from knowing the account number for the account; (Column 6, lines 33-38)

requesting by the merchant that the financial institution authorize the financial transaction; (Column 6, lines 51-54)

receiving by the merchant an authorization from the financial institution to complete the financial transaction; (Column 6, lines 55-57)

Chen et al. ('197) does not explicitly disclose validating by the merchant that the financial institution identified by the financial transaction is acceptable using security data from the file; completing the financial transaction between the consumer and the merchant; and notifying the financial institution that the financial transaction is complete. Franklin et al ('832) discloses validating by the merchant that the financial institution identified by the financial transaction is acceptable using security data from the file; (Column 2, lines 47-60) completing the financial transaction between the consumer and the merchant; (Figure 7) and notifying the financial institution that the financial transaction is complete. (Figure 7) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Chen et al method with the Franklin et al method in order to allow the merchant to realize a profit from the sale of merchandise.

Claims 9 and 17 are in parallel with claim 1 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

Application/Control Number: 09/921,961

Art Unit: 3621

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-8, 10-16 and 18-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P Trammell can be reached on (703)305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JMW March 22, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600